

FINITE-PART INTEGRATION OF THE GENERALIZED STIELTJES TRANSFORM AND ITS DOMINANT ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR FOR SMALL VALUES OF THE PARAMETER

CHRISTIAN D. TICA AND ERIC A. GALAPON

ABSTRACT. The paper addresses the exact evaluation of the generalized Stieltjes transform $S_\lambda[f] = \int_0^\infty f(x)(\omega + x)^{-\lambda} dx$ about $\omega = 0$ from which the asymptotic behavior of $S_\lambda[f]$ for small parameters ω is directly extracted. An attempt to evaluate the integral by expanding the integrand $(\omega + x)^{-\lambda}$ about $\omega = 0$ and then naively integrating the resulting infinite series term by term lead to an infinite series whose terms are divergent integrals. Assigning values to the divergent integrals, say, by analytic continuation or by Hadamard's finite parts is known to reproduce only some of the correct terms of the expansion but completely misses out a group of terms. Here we evaluate explicitly the generalized Stieltjes transform by means of finite part-integration recently introduced in [E.A. Galapon, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **473**, 20160567 (2017)]. It is shown that, when $f(x)$ does not vanish or has zero of order m at the origin such that $(\lambda - m) \geq 1$, the dominant terms of $S_\lambda[f]$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0$ come from contributions arising from the poles and branch points of the complex valued function $f(z)(\omega + z)^{-\lambda}$. These dominant terms are precisely the terms missed out by naive term by term integration. Furthermore, it is demonstrated how finite-part integration leads to new series representations of special functions by exploiting their known Stieltjes integral representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The generalized Stieltjes transform of order $\lambda > 0$ of a locally integrable function $f(x)$ in the interval $[0, \infty)$ is given by

$$(1) \quad S_\lambda[f] = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^\lambda} dx,$$

provided the integral exists, at least, in the Riemann sense. The transform of order $\lambda = 1$ is the standard Stieltjes transform,

$$(2) \quad S[f] = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\omega + x} dx,$$

whose classical properties was extensively studied in [1]. On the other hand, various properties of the generalized Stieltjes transform was studied separately in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The application of Stieltjes transform and its generalization is not only limited to classical functions but has also been applied to transforms of distributions [7, 8, 12]. Other generalizations of the Stieltjes transform (2), aside from equation (1), are also known. These generalizations have kernels of transformations that reduce to the kernel of equation (1), such as kernels in hypergeometric functions [3, 10] and kernels involving powers of the variable x [20, 12]. The generalized transform (1)

has been a tool in function theory, such as in Weyl fractional calculus [13] and in representation theory of special functions [14, 15].

In this paper we address the exact evaluation of equation (1) in the neighborhood of the origin, $\omega = 0$, from which the correct asymptotic behavior of $S_\lambda[f]$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0$ is obtained directly, a problem distinct from earlier asymptotic evaluations of the Stieltjes transform and its generalization in which the asymptotic expansion is sought in the opposite asymptotic regime $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. An instinctive approach to the evaluation of $S_\lambda[f]$ in the neighborhood of $\omega = 0$ is to replace $(\omega + x)^{-\lambda}$ with its binomial expansion about $\omega = 0$,

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{(\omega + x)^\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\lambda}{k} \frac{\omega^k}{x^{k+\lambda}},$$

in the integrand and then performing a term by term integration. This yields the infinite series of integrals

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\lambda}{k} \omega^k \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+\lambda}} dx.$$

If the function $f(x)$ is analytic at the origin, the integrals are generally divergent due to the non-integrable singularity at the origin. One may attempt to give meaning to the divergent integrals by assigning values to them, say, by analytic continuation. This implies that $S_\lambda[f]$ has a finite value at $\omega = 0$; but when $\lambda \geq 1$ and $f(0) \neq 0$ we expect divergence at the first term so that the integral is infinite when ω is zero. This indicates that a naive assignment of values to divergent integrals can lead to wrong results.

It is known that term by term integration involving divergent integrals can lead to missing terms [16]. This was first pointed out and resolved by McClure and Wong in the asymptotic evaluation of the Stieltjes transform (2) as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ [18]. There, they showed that interpreting the divergent integrals by analytic continuation leads to missing terms. The missing terms are recovered by interpreting the divergent integrals as functionals over some fundamental space of test functions so that the singular factors in the integrand are interpreted as distributions. However, despite of the absence of a counter example to the results of McClure and Wong, it is desirable to clarify the intervening steps leading to their final results. In the intervening steps, divergent integrals arise and they are assigned values by analytic continuation. The use of analytic continuation there needs justification as it is already known that analytic continuation may leave out some terms.

Recently, one of us revisited the problem of missing terms arising from term by term integration involving divergent integrals in the standard Stieltjes transform (2) in the neighborhood of $\omega = 0$ without the use of distribution theory and analytic continuation [21]. There, the problem of missing terms is resolved by lifting the integration in the complex plane. It is shown that the missing terms arise from the singularities of the complex valued function $f(z)(\omega + z)^{-1}$, with the divergent integrals arising from term by term integration interpreted as finite part integrals [22, 23, 24, 25]. In particular, we had the result

$$(5) \quad S[f] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \omega^k \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx + \Delta_{sc}(\omega)$$

where the integral is the finite part of the divergent integral $\int_0^\infty f(x)x^{-k}dx$ and $\Delta_{sc}(\omega)$ is the contribution from the singularities, either from a pole or a branch point, of the function $f(z)(\omega + z)^{-1}$, in which $f(z)$ is the complexification of the function $f(x)$, obtained by replacing the real variable x with the complex variable z in f . The term $\Delta_{sc}(\omega)$ is precisely the term missed out when performing naive term by term integration.

The equality in equation (5) is not a mere asymptotic equality but an exact analytic equality. This leads to the use of divergent integrals, their finite parts in particular, in the analytical evaluation of a convergent integral. We have referred to this method of evaluating a convergent integral using the finite parts of divergent integrals as finite-part integration [21]. In this paper we will consider the finite-part integration of the generalized Stieltjes integral (1). We will obtain the general result

$$(6) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^\lambda} dx = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{-\lambda}{k} \omega^{sk} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+\lambda}} dx + \Delta_{sc}(\omega).$$

where $\Delta_{sc}(\omega)$ constitutes the contributions coming from the singularities of $f(z)(\omega + z)^{-\lambda}$ in the complex plane. Here we will refer to the first term as the naive contribution (or the naive term) as it arises from naive term by term integration, and the second term as the singular contribution (or the singular term) as it arises from the singularities of the integrand in the complex plane. We will obtain the explicit forms of $\Delta_{sc}(\omega)$, and determine the dominant contributions in the asymptotic regime of arbitrarily small ω . We will show that the singular contributions dominate the behavior of $S_\lambda[f]$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0$ when $f(x)$ does not vanish or when it has a zero at the origin whose order does not sufficiently exceed the order of the Stieltjes transform. We will also demonstrate how finite part integration leads to new representations of special functions. In particular, by exploiting known Stieltjes integral representations of the Gauss hypergeometric function and the Kummer function of the second kind, we will obtain new series representations of them.

We accomplish our task here by way of the finite part-integration of the incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform given by

$$(7) \quad S_\lambda^a[f] = \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^\lambda} dx, \quad 0 < a < \infty, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The result for the generalized Stieltjes transform is obtained by means of the limit

$$(8) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^\lambda} dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^\lambda} dx,$$

provided the limit exists in the standard sense. While the incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform is used to obtain the desired generalized Stieltjes transform, the incomplete transform (i.e. for some fixed upper limit of integration a) is important in itself. For example, special functions, such as the Gauss hypergeometric function, assume an incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform representation. Here we will limit ourselves to $f(x)$'s with entire complexification $f(z)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section-2, we discuss finite-part integration and outline how to implement finite-part integration of the generalized Stieltjes transform. In Section-3, we develop general series representation of the finite part of divergent integrals arising from non-integrable singularity at the origin. In Section-4 we consider the generalized Stieltjes transform of entire functions for

integral orders. In Section-5, we consider the Stieltjes transform of entire functions with branch point at the origin for integral orders. In Section-6, we consider finite part integral for divergent integrals whose divergence arise from an end point singularity in preparation for the next Section. In Section-7, we consider the Stieltjes transform for non-integral order. In Section-8, we conclude. Analytical results are confirmed numerically using Mathematica 10.3 and Maple 18.00 on an Intel Core i7 processor with 8Gb of RAM.

2. FINITE-PART INTEGRATION

In this Section, we give an overview of finite-part integration and of how to implement it specifically to the evaluation of the incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform. Specific details are found later in Section-4, Section-5 and Section-7. To perform finite-part integration on a given convergent integral, one generally has to proceed as follows, as initially outlined in [21]:

- (1) Determine the divergent integrals that arise after expanding the integrand of the given integral and performing a term by term integration;
- (2) obtain the finite parts of the divergent integrals;
- (3) obtain the complex contour integral representation of the finite parts;
- (4) represent the given integral as a complex contour integral using the same contour of integration as the finite part integrals;
- (5) perform the expansion of the integrand under the contour integral of the given (convergent) integral and proceed with the term by term integration;
- (6) recover the missing terms from the singularities of the integrand in the complex plane.

We apply these steps in the evaluation of the integral (7). Since we wish to obtain an expansion that yields the asymptotic behavior as $\omega \rightarrow 0$, we use the binomial expansion of $(\omega + x)^{-\lambda}$ about $\omega = 0$. Substituting this expansion back into the given integral leads to an infinite series of integrals

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\lambda}{k} \omega^k \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+\lambda}} dx.$$

The integrals are divergent when $f(x)$ is analytic at the origin, except possibly for $k = 0$, due to the non-integrable singularity at the origin. We have then identified the relevant divergent integrals and they are given by

$$(10) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx, \quad 0 < \nu < 1, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

This accomplishes the first step in the application of finite-part integration.

Next is to obtain the finite part of these integrals. This is done by temporarily modifying the divergent integral to become convergent, followed by identifying the terms that diverge as the modified integral approaches the given divergent integral. There is no unique way of doing this but here the divergent integral is modified with the replacement of the offending non-integrable origin with some arbitrarily small ϵ , $0 < \epsilon < a$. Then the resulting convergent integral is grouped into two sets of terms

$$(11) \quad \int_{\epsilon}^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = C_{\epsilon} + D_{\epsilon},$$




FIGURE 1. The contour of integration. The contour C does not enclose any pole of $f(z)$.

where C_ϵ is the group of terms that possesses a finite limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and D_ϵ is the group of terms that diverges in the same limit. The finite part of the divergent integral is obtained by dropping the diverging group of terms D_ϵ , leaving only the limit of C_ϵ and assigning the limit as the value of the divergent integral,

$$(12) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} C_\epsilon.$$

Next is to establish a contour integral representation of the finite part integral (12). The starting point for the construction of a complex contour representation is an equivalent form of the finite part integral which is given by

$$(13) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_\epsilon^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx - D_\epsilon \right]$$

This follows from equation (11) and our chosen definition of the finite part. To obtain the contour integral representation, the function $f(x)$ is complexified by replacing the real variable x with the complex variable z to yield the complex valued function $f(z)$. We impose the minimum requirement that $f(z)$ is at least analytic in some neighborhood of the complex plane which takes the value $f(x)$ along the path of integration $[0, a]$ either from above or below the real axis. The complexified function $f(z)$ is then defined (uniquely) in the complex plane by analytic continuation. Now the contour integral representation of the finite part integral (13) depends on the analytic properties of $f(z)$, in particular, along the path of integration $[0, a]$. Either $f(z)$ is analytic along the path or it has at most a branch point there. The representation is obtained by using a contour C that starts at a and goes around to enclose the segment $[0, a]$ back to the point a . Along this contour, the integral $\int_C f(z) G(z) z^{-m-\nu} dz$ is evaluated, where $G(z)$ is there to induce, when necessary, a branch cut along the path of integration. $G(z)$ is chosen such that when the contour C is continuously deformed to hug the segment $[0, a]$ the right hand side of equation (13) emerges in the limit. This is expected to lead to the desired complex contour integral representation of the finite part integral.

In [21] we assumed that $f(z)$ is analytic in the segment $[0, a]$. Under this assumption, the contour integral representation will depend on the value of ν . When $\nu = 0$, the integrand $f(z)z^{-m}$ has a pole of order m at the origin; when $\nu \neq 0$, it




FIGURE 2. The contour of integration in the contour integral representation of a real integral. The contour C encloses some or all the poles of the integrand.

has a branch point there instead. There we obtained the following complex contour integral representations of the finite part integral.

Theorem 2.1. *Let the complex extension, $f(z)$, of $f(x)$ be analytic in some neighborhood of the interval $[0, a]$. If $f(0) \neq 0$, then*

$$(14) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{z^m} (\log z - \pi i) dz, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\log z$ is the complex logarithm whose branch cut is the positive real axis and C is the contour straddling the branch cut of $\log z$ starting from a and ending at a itself, as depicted in Figure-1.

Theorem 2.2. *Let the complex extension, $f(z)$, of $f(x)$ be analytic in some neighborhood of the interval $[0, a]$. If $f(0) \neq 0$, then*

$$(15) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \frac{1}{(e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1)} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{z^{m+\nu}} dz, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, 0 < \nu < 1$$

the branch of $z^{-\nu}$ is chosen such that it assumes positive values on top of the positive real axis and the contour C is the path straddling the branch cut of $z^{-\nu}$ starting from and ending at a itself, as depicted in Figure-1.

Observe that in this representation, the finite part integral is the value of an absolutely convergent integral similar to what we have earlier established for the Cauchy principal value and the Fox principal value [23, 25]. This lifts the rather vague meaning of the finite part into a well-defined convergent integral.

Once the contour integral representation of the relevant finite part integrals has been obtained, we obtain a similar contour integral representation of the given convergent integral using the same contour of integration. For $f(z)$'s that are analytic along $[0, a]$, we have the following contour integral representations.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $g(x)$ be integrable in the interval $[0, a]$ and that its complex extension $g(z)$ is analytic in a region containing the interval $[0, a]$ in its interior and*

holomorphic elsewhere. Then

$$(16) \quad \int_0^a g(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C g(z) \log z dz - \sum_k \text{Res} [\log z g(z)]_{z_k},$$

where the branch cut of $\log z$ is chosen to be the positive real axis and C is the contour straddling the branch cut of $\log z$ starting from a and ending at a itself, as depicted in Figure-2, and the z_k 's are the poles of $g(z)$ enclosed by C , with no pole of $g(z)$ lying along C .

Lemma 2.2. Let $g(x)$ be integrable in the interval $[0, a]$ and that its complex extension $g(z)$ is analytic in a region containing the interval $[0, a]$ in its interior and holomorphic elsewhere. Then

$$(17) \quad \int_0^a x^{-\nu} g(x) dx = \frac{1}{(e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1)} \int_C z^{-\nu} g(z) dz - \frac{2\pi i}{(e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1)} \sum_k \text{Res} [z^{-\nu} g(z)]_{z_k},$$

where the branch cut of $z^{-\nu}$ is chosen to be the positive real axis and C is the contour straddling the branch cut of $z^{-\nu}$ starting from a and ending at a itself, as depicted in Figure-2, and the z_k 's are the poles of $g(z)$ enclosed by C , with no pole of $g(z)$ lying along C .

Specific implementation of the contour integral representation of the incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform depends on the order λ of the transformation, and the analytic properties of $f(z)$, and the value of the parameter ν . For integral orders $\lambda = n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\nu = 0$ and entire $f(z)$, the Stieltjes transform will have to take the representation given by equation (16); this is implemented in Section-4. On the other hand, for integral orders $\lambda = n$, $\nu \neq 0$ and entire $f(z)$, the Stieltjes transform will assume the representation given by equation (17); this is implemented in Section-5. For non-integral order $\lambda \neq n$, a contour integral representation other than equations (16) and (17) will have to be devised; for entire $f(z)$, the case of non-integral order is implemented in Sections-6 and 7.

Comparing the contour integral representations of the finite part integrals in the Theorems and the first terms in the Lemmas above, our intention of representing the given convergent integral as a contour integral using the same contour as in the finite part integral is apparent. The reason is that when the complexified version of the expansion (3), given by

$$(18) \quad \frac{1}{(\omega + z)^\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\lambda}{k} \frac{\omega^k}{z^{k+\lambda}},$$

is substituted back into the contour integral representation of the incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform and term by term integration is implemented, we will be able to identify the contour integrals that appear as finite parts of the divergent integrals that arise from naive term by term integration.

Not only that the contour integral representation allows unique identification of the divergent integrals as finite part integrals, it is responsible in picking up the poles and branch points of the integrand $f(z)(\omega + z)^{-\lambda}$ that are the origins of the missing terms in the naive application of term by term integration of the expansion (9). The missing terms are precisely the residue terms in equations (16) and (17). For non-integral order of transformation, we will find that the missing terms are not residues from poles but from finite parts of divergent integrals.

Remark. From the definition of the finite part integral given by equation (12), it is evident that, when the divergent part D_ϵ vanishes, the finite part integral is just the (Riemann) improper integral. Also the complex contour integral representations of the finite parts given by equations (14) and (15) reduce to the regular (Riemann) integrals of the integrands when the improper integrals exist. For this reason, we can always replace the regular integral $\int_0^a$ with the finite part integral $\mathbb{F}\int_0^a$ without possible confusion for the two values coincide when the former exists. In short, the finite part integral of a convergent integral is just the value of the convergent integral itself.

Remark. In general the finite part integral is denoted by $\text{FPI}\int$ but here we choose the notation $\mathbb{F}\int$, in keeping with the notation in [21]. The reason is that the condition for the existence of the finite part is at least differentiability of the function $f(x)$ up to some finite order, i.e., it is not necessarily infinitely differentiable. However, in order for the complex contour integral representations (14) and (15) to hold it is necessary to impose the condition that the complexification of $f(x)$, $f(z)$, is analytic in some region containing the segment $[0, a]$. This implies that $f(x)$ is necessarily infinitely differentiable in $[0, a]$; the notation $\mathbb{F}\int$ serves to indicate that $f(x)$ has this property. In Section-6, we will have the need to use separately these two notations for the finite part integral.

3. SERIES REPRESENTATION OF FINITE PART INTEGRALS ARISING FROM NON-INTEGRABLE SINGULARITY AT THE ORIGIN

In this Section, we obtain the series representation of the finite part of the divergent integral (10) to facilitate its calculation in the rest of the paper. We will assume that the complexified function $f(z)$ is entire so that it possesses a power series expansion with an infinite radius of convergence,

$$(19) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k,$$

where the c_k 's are constants. We will derive the representation in two ways. First, by means of the definition of the finite part integral; and then by means of the complex contour integral representation of the finite part of the divergent integral. This provides an explicit demonstration of the equivalence of the definition and the contour integral representation of the finite part integral which is not immediately apparent.

3.1. Case $\nu = 0$. We now derive the series representation of the finite part integral $\mathbb{F}\int_0^a x^{-m} f(x) dx$ using the primitive definition of the finite part of a divergent integral given by equation (12). For some positive $\epsilon < a$, we identify the convergent and divergent parts of the (convergent) integral $\int_\epsilon^a f(x) x^{-m} dx$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We replace $f(x)$ with its Taylor series expansion, $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k$, in the integral. Since the limits of integration are well within the radius of convergence of the series, a term-wise integration is warranted. Splitting the summation into three parts: $0 \leq k \leq m-2$, $k = m-1$, and $m \leq k < \infty$, and performing the integration we

obtain

$$(20) \quad \int_{\epsilon}^a \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{c_k}{(k-m+1)} \left(\frac{1}{a^{m-k-1}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^{m-k-1}} \right) + c_{m-1} (\ln a - \ln \epsilon) + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{(k-m+1)} (a^{k-m+1} - \epsilon^{k-m+1})$$

Taking the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the equation above, we identify the converging and diverging terms as follows

$$(21) \quad C_{\epsilon} = c_{m-1} \ln a - \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{(m-k-1)} (a^{k-m+1} - \epsilon^{k-m+1}) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{c_k}{(m-k-1)} \frac{1}{a^{m-k-1}},$$

$$(22) \quad D_{\epsilon} = -c_{m-1} \ln \epsilon + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{c_k}{(m-k-1)} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{m-k-1}}.$$

Dropping D_{ϵ} altogether and assigning the value $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} C_{\epsilon}$ to the divergent integral, we obtain the series representation of the finite part integral

$$(23) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx = c_{m-1} \ln a - \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{c_k}{(m-k-1)} \frac{1}{a^{m-k-1}} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{c_k a^{k-m+1}}{(k-m+1)}.$$

Moreover, taking the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the finite part integral

$$(24) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left[c_{m-1} \ln a + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{c_k a^{k-m+1}}{(k-m+1)} \right],$$

provided the limit exists.

We now recover the result (23) using the contour integral representation of the finite part integral (14). We deform the contour of integration C in Figure-1 into a circle of radius a centered at the origin; since $f(z)$ is entire, the value of the original contour integral is equal to the value of the integral along the circle. With the parametrization $z = ae^{i\theta}$ along the circular path of integration, the contour integral representation assumes the form

$$(25) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx = \frac{i}{a^{m-1}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} f(ae^{i\theta}) [\ln a + i(\theta - \pi)] e^{i(1-m)\theta} d\theta$$

The integrals in the right hand side are evaluated by replacing $f(ae^{i\theta})$ with its expansion

$$(26) \quad f(ae^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k a^k e^{ik\theta}$$

and then integrating term by term, which we can do again because the series converges uniformly along the path of integration.

The pair of integrals in (25) can be evaluated using the following integral identities

$$(27) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(n-k)\theta} d\theta = \begin{cases} 0 & , n \neq k \\ 2\pi & , n = k \end{cases},$$

$$(28) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(n-k)\theta} \theta d\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi i}{(n-k)} & , n \neq k \\ \frac{2\pi^2}{2} & , n = k \end{cases}.$$

By application of these identities, we obtain the following integrals

$$(29) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} f(ae^{i\theta}) e^{i(1-m)\theta} d\theta = 2\pi c_{m-1} a^{m-1}$$

$$(30) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} f(ae^{i\theta}) e^{i(1-m)\theta} \theta d\theta = 2\pi i \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{c_k}{(m-k-1)} a^k + 2\pi^2 c_{m-1} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{(m-k-1)} a^k$$

Substituting them back in equation (25) reproduces the finite part integral (23).

We now establish under what condition the limit $a \rightarrow \infty$ exists in equation (24). We use the contour integral representation (14) of the finite part integral. We deform the contour C in Figure-1 into the key-hole contour C' . The circular part of the contour has a radius $\epsilon < a$. We then have

$$(31) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{f(z)}{z^m} (\log z - i\pi) dz + \int_\epsilon^a \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx.$$

The first term is independent of the upper limit of integration a so that only the second term is relevant in the limit as a becomes arbitrarily large. Clearly the limit in equation (24) exists provided $f(x)x^{-m}$ is integrable at infinity. Then we have proved the following series and limit representation of the finite part integral.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f(x)$ have an entire complexification $f(z)$ such that it admits the expansion*

$$(32) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k.$$

Then

$$(33) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx = c_{m-1} \ln a - \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{c_k}{(m-k-1)} \frac{1}{a^{m-k-1}} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{c_k a^{k-m+1}}{(k-m+1)}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

in which an empty sum is zero. Moreover,

$$(34) \quad \oint_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x^m} dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left[c_{m-1} \ln a + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{c_k a^{k-m+1}}{(k-m+1)} \right],$$

provided $f(x)x^{-m}$ is integrable at infinity or $f(x)x^{-m} = o(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

3.1.1. Example. We apply Theorem-3.1 to determine the finite part of the divergent integral $\int_0^\infty x^{-m} e^{-bx} dx$ for positive integer m and $b > 0$. We identify $f(x) = e^{-bx}$ which has an entire complex extension with the expansion coefficients $c_k = (-b)^k/k!$. Substituting the coefficients c_k back into equation (34), we obtain the finite part integral in limit form

$$(35) \quad \oint_0^\infty \frac{e^{-bx}}{x^m} dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^{m-1} b^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \ln a + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k b^k a^{k-m+1}}{k! (k-m+1)} \right).$$

To facilitate the calculation of the limit, we write the infinite sum in the second term as a hypergeometric function,

$$(36) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k b^k a^{k-m+1}}{k! (k-m+1)!} = \frac{(-1)^m a b^m}{m!} {}_2F_2(1, 1; m+1, 2; -ab).$$

We then make use of the asymptotic expansion of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_2$ for the case of a double pole for large arguments. The relevant expansion is given by [27],

$$(37) \quad {}_2F_2(a_1, a_1; b_1, b_2; z) = \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2)}{\Gamma(a_1)^2} e^z (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) z^{2a_1-b_1-b_2} \\ + \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2) (-z)^{-a_1}}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(b_1-a_1) \Gamma(b_2-a_1)} [\log(-z) (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \\ - (\psi(b_1-a_1) + \psi(b_2-a_1) + \psi(a_1) + 2\gamma) (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}))], \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\psi(z)$ is the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function and $\gamma = \psi(1)$ is the Euler constant. By inspection, only the second term in the expansion (37) contributes in the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$. Substituting the leading contribution of ${}_2F_2$ back into equation (35) and taking the limit, we obtain the finite part integral

$$(38) \quad \oint_0^\infty \frac{e^{-bx}}{x^m} dx = \frac{(-1)^m b^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} (\ln b - \psi(m)).$$

Observe that naive application of change variable by substitution does not generally hold for the finite part integral. The finite part integral (38) demonstrates this. When $b = 1$ the logarithmic term vanishes giving

$$(39) \quad \oint_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^m} dx = -\frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!} \psi(m).$$

This result was earlier obtained in [21]. An attempt to evaluate the right hand side of equation (35) by changing variable $x \rightarrow x/b$ and then using the specific value (39) leads to the finite part

$$(40) \quad \oint_0^\infty \frac{e^{-bx}}{x^m} dx = -\frac{(-1)^m b^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \psi(m).$$

Comparing equations (38) and (40) we see that a naive change of variable misses out the logarithmic term.

3.2. Case $\nu \neq 0$. As in the previous case, we can either use the definition of the finite part integral or its contour integral representation to obtain the series representation. The result is

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f(x)$ have an entire complexification $f(z)$ such that it admits the expansion*

$$(41) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k.$$

Then

$$(42) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{(k+1-m-\nu)}, \quad 0 < \nu < 1, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Moreover,

$$(43) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=m}^\infty \frac{c_k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{(k+1-m-\nu)},$$

provided $f(x)x^{-m-\nu}$ is integrable at infinity or $f(x)x^{-m-\nu} = o(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2.1. *Example.* We apply Theorem-3.2 to obtain the finite part of the divergent integral $\int_0^\infty x^{-m-\nu} e^{-bx} dx$ for the parameters of the theorem and for $b > 0$. Substituting the coefficients $c_k = (-b)^k/k!$ back in equation (43), we obtain the finite part in limit form

$$(44) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-bx}}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=m}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k b^k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{k! (k+1-m-\nu)}.$$

Again to facilitate the calculation of the limit, we sum the series in terms of a hypergeometric function,

$$(45) \quad \sum_{k=m}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k b^k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{k! (k+1-m-\nu)} = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} a^{1-\nu} b^m}{m! (\nu-1)} {}_2F_2(1, 1-\nu; m+1, 2-\nu; -ab).$$

We then make use of its asymptotic expansion for large arguments for the case of simple poles [26],

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_2F_2(a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2; z) &= \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2) \Gamma(a_2 - a_1)}{\Gamma(a_2) \Gamma(b_1 - a_1) \Gamma(b_2 - a_1)} (-z)^{-a_1} (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2) \Gamma(a_1 - a_2)}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(b_1 - a_2) \Gamma(b_2 - a_2)} (-z)^{-a_2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2)}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(a_2)} e^z z^{a_1+a_2-b_1-b_2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

By inspection, the second term dominates all the other terms for the given parameter for arbitrary large z . Then in the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the finite part integral

$$(47) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-bx}}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \frac{(-1)^m b^{m+\nu-1} \pi}{\sin(\pi \nu) \Gamma(m+\nu)}$$

Observe that substitution works this time. The value of the finite part integral (47) at $b \neq 1$ can be obtained from its value at $b = 1$ by mere substitution. This is in contrast with the earlier case where the value at $b = 1$ does not determine the value at other values of b . This shows that integration by substitution in real integration is not a property enjoyed by finite part integration. This should not come as a surprise. The reason is that the finite part integral is in fact not an integral in the real line but a contour integral in the complex plane where singularities enclosed by the contour of integration make contributions. It is these contributions that mere substitution does not capture. The correct way to perform integration by substitution in finite part integration is by means of the complex contour integral of a given finite part integral.

3.3. Polynomials. Polynomials are special class of entire functions, so that the above results apply. Here we consider the finite part integrals

$$(48) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{P_{[r]}^{[s]}(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx,$$

for $0 \leq \nu < 1$, where $P_{[r]}^{[s]}(x)$ is the polynomial

$$(49) \quad P_{[r]}^{[s]}(x) = \sum_{k=r}^s a_k x^k$$

where the a_k 's are constants independent of x . That is $P_{[r]}^{[s]}(x)$ is a polynomial of order s that has zero of order r at the origin.

For pole singularities, $\nu = 0$, equation (33) has several cases depending on the relative values of m and the order s of the polynomial. By inspection, we have the following results:

$$(50) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{P_{[r]}^{[s]}(x)}{x^m} dx = \sum_{k=r}^s \frac{a_k a^{k-m+1}}{(k-m+1)}, \quad m < r,$$

$$(51) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{P_{[r]}^{[s]}(x)}{x^m} dx = - \sum_{k=r}^s \frac{a_k}{(m-k+1)a^{m-k+1}}, \quad m > s,$$

$$(52) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{P_{[r]}^{[s]}(x)}{x^m} dx = - \sum_{k=r}^{m-2} \frac{a_k}{(m-k+1)a^{m-k+1}} + a_{m-1} \ln a + \sum_{k=m}^s \frac{a_k a^{k-m+1}}{(k-m+1)},$$

$$r+1 \leq m \leq s+1$$

An empty sum in the last expression must be assigned the value zero.

On the other hand, in the presence of a branch point singularity, for $\nu \neq 0$, equation (42) reduces to the following single result:

$$(53) \quad \oint_0^a \frac{P_{[r]}^{[s]}(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \sum_{k=r}^s \frac{a_k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{(k+1-m-\nu)}.$$

4. GENERALIZED STIELTJES TRANSFORM OF INTEGRAL ORDER OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

In this section, we evaluate by finite-part integration the incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform of integral order n of a function $f(x)$,

$$(54) \quad S_n^a[f] = \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega+x)^n} dx, \quad \omega > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

To proceed with the finite-part integration, we have to represent the integral as a contour integral in the complex plane. We assume that $f(x)$ has an entire complex extension $f(z)$. Then $f(z)(\omega+z)^{-n}$ is analytic in the strip $[0, a]$ with a pole at $z = -\omega$ of order n . Then, the appropriate complex contour integral representation of the integral $S_\lambda[f]$ is provided by equation (16). So that

$$(55) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega+x)^n} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z) \log z}{(\omega+z)^n} dz - \text{Res} \left[\frac{f(z)}{(\omega+z)^n} \log z \right]_{z=-\omega}.$$

We wish to manipulate the first term in equation (55) to enable us later to identify the finite part integral. We introduce a trivial change in the first term with the replacement $\log z = (\log z - i\pi) + i\pi$. Then

$$(56) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z) \log z}{(\omega + z)^n} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^n} (\log z - i\pi) dz + i\pi \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^n} \right]_{z=-\omega},$$

where we have used the fact that $f(z)$ is entire to arrive at the second term in the right hand side of the equation (56). Substituting equation (56) back into equation (55), we obtain

$$(57) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^n} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^n} (\log z - i\pi) dz - \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^n} (\log z - i\pi) \right]_{z=-\omega}$$

Now we choose the contour $\mathcal{C}$ such that for a fixed ω and for all z in $\mathcal{C}$ the following expansion converges absolutely,

$$(58) \quad \frac{1}{(\omega + z)^n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \frac{\omega^k}{z^{k+n}}.$$

Absolute convergence is guaranteed provided $|\omega/z| < 1$ for all z in $\mathcal{C}$. This criterion can be simplified by deforming the contour of integration into a circle centered at the origin with radius a . Then the condition $|\omega/z| < 1$ for all z in $\mathcal{C}$ translates to the condition $\omega < a$, which is sufficient to impose for all paths of integration satisfying the condition.

We then introduce the expansion (58) back into the first term of equation (57). The absolute convergence of the binomial expansion along the contour of integration allows us to interchange the order of integration and infinite summation in the first term. Then

$$(59) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^n} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+n}} (\log z - i\pi) dz - \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^n} (\log z - i\pi) \right]_{z=-\omega}$$

Comparing the contour integrals in the first term with the contour integral representation of the finite part integrals for pole singularities given by equation (14), we find the integrals to be the finite parts of the divergent integrals $\int_0^a x^{-k-n} f(x) dx$.

We can establish independently the absolute convergence of the infinite series in equation (59). We deform the contour of the contour integral representation of the finite part into a circle centered at the origin with the radius a . First, we have the

bound

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+n}} dx \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+n}} (\log z - i\pi) dz \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(ae^{i\theta})}{a^{k+n} e^{i(k+n)\theta}} (\ln a + (\theta - \pi)i) i a e^{i\theta} d\theta \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{a^{k+n-1}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(ae^{i\theta})| (\ln a + (\theta - \pi)) d\theta \\
 (60) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{a^{k+n-1}} M(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $M(a)$ is a finite positive constant independent of k . Then we have the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx \right| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \left| \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{M(a)}{a^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \frac{\omega^k}{a^k} \\
 (61) \quad &= \frac{aM(a)}{(a+\omega)^n},
 \end{aligned}$$

provided $a > \omega$. Then the infinite series converges absolutely.

Finally the residue term can be readily calculated, noting that we have a single pole at $z = -\omega$ of order n . The results are

$$(62) \quad \text{Res} \left[\frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)} (\log z - i\pi) \right]_{z=-\omega} = f(-\omega) \ln \omega.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Res} \left[\frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^n} (\log z - i\pi) \right]_{z=-\omega} &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} f^{(n-1)}(-\omega) \ln \omega \\
 (63) \quad &- \omega^{1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{f^{(k)}(-\omega) \omega^k}{k! (n-1-k)}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting all these back into the expansion (59) leads to the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let the complex extension, $f(z)$, of $f(x)$ be entire. Then for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $0 < \omega < a$, the following equality holds*

$$(64) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^n} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+n}} dx + \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega)$$

where

$$(65) \quad \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(1)}(\omega) = -f(-\omega) \ln \omega.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) &= -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} f^{(n-1)}(-\omega) \ln \omega \\
 (66) \quad &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{f^{(k)}(-\omega)}{k! (n-1-k)} \omega^{n-k-1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

,

4.1. Behavior for small parameters. If $f(z)$ is entire and analytic at the origin, which is our basic assumption on the function $f(z)$ in this paper, then we can always write it in the form $f(z) = z^m g(z)$, for some $m = 0, 1, \dots$ and $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k$ with $d_0 \neq 0$. When $m = 0$, $f(z)$ necessarily means that $f(z)$ does not vanish at the origin, $f(0) \neq 0$. On the other hand, when m is a positive integer, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, we have $f(0) = 0$, so that m is the order of zero of $f(z)$ at the origin. It will be our convention here to call the case $f(0) \neq 0$ as $f(z)$ having a zero at the origin of order $m = 0$.

Now let us look into the dominant behavior of $S_n^a[f]$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0$. For $n = 1$, the singular contribution dominates the finite part contributions as $\omega \rightarrow 0$ when $f(z)$ has a zero of order $m = 0$ at the origin or $f(0) \neq 0$. Under this condition, we have the leading behavior

$$(67) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)} dx \sim -f(0) \ln \omega, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0.$$

When $f(z)$ vanishes at the origin so that it has a zero of order $m > 0$ there, we find that the leading contribution of $\Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(1)}(\omega)$ is $\mathcal{O}(\omega^m \ln \omega)$, which vanishes as $\omega \rightarrow 0$. For this case the behavior near the origin is dominated by the leading finite part contribution,

$$(68) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)} dx \sim \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x} dx, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0.$$

In fact the singular term will contribute only starting at the order $\mathcal{O}(\omega^m)$ of the naive contribution.

Similarly, for general $n = 2, 3, \dots$, the nature of the dominant contribution to the value of $S_n^a[f]$ depends chiefly on the order m of the zero of $f(x)$ at the origin. First, we consider the case when f has a zero at the origin of order $m = n - 1$ so that we write $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j z^{j+n-1}$, with $d_0 \neq 0$. The singular contribution (139) assumes the form

$$(69) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) &= -\frac{\ln \omega}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j (j+n-1)! d_j}{j!} \omega^j \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+n-k-1} (j+n-1)! d_j}{k! (n-1-k)! (j+n-k-1)!} \omega^j \end{aligned}$$

We see that in the limit as $\omega \rightarrow 0$, the first term of (69) provides the dominant contribution to the value of $S_n^a[f]$ due to the logarithmic factor so that

$$(70) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^n} dx \sim -d_0 \ln \omega, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0.$$

When the order of the zero of f at the origin is $m = 0, 1, \dots, (n-2)$ or $m = n-s$, for $s = 2, 3, \dots, n$, the singular term provides the following dominant contribution

$$(71) \quad \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) = -\frac{\ln \omega}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=s-1}^{\infty} \frac{(j+n-s)! d_j}{(j-s+1)!} (-\omega)^{j-s+1} \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \frac{(-1)^{j+n-k-s}}{k! (n-1-k)} \frac{(j+n-s)! d_j}{(j+n-s-k)!} \omega^{j-s+1} \\ + \sum_{k=n-s+1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=k+s-n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+n-k-s}}{k! (n-1-k)} \frac{(j+n-s)! d_j}{(j+n-s-k)!} \omega^{j-s+1}.$$

When $s = 2$, the third term in the equation above is an empty sum over k , hence it vanishes. Furthermore, we see that the dominant contribution for all values of s comes from the second term of (71) which is of the order $\mathcal{O}(\omega^{-(s-1)})$ so that

$$(72) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega+x)^n} dx \sim \frac{d_0}{\omega^{s-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \frac{(-1)^{n-s-k} (n-s)!}{k! (n-1-k) (n-s-k)!}, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, when the order of the zero of f is $m = n, (n+1), (n+2), \dots$ or $m = n+r$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the singular contribution (66) assumes the form

$$(73) \quad \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) = -\frac{\ln \omega}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+n+r)! d_j}{(j+r+1)!} (-\omega)^{j+r+1} \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(-1)^{j+n-k+r}}{k! (n-1-k)} \frac{(j+n+r)! d_j}{(j+n-k+r)!} \omega^{j+r+1},$$

which has the leading contribution $\mathcal{O}(\omega^{r+1} \ln \omega)$. The singular contribution then vanishes as $\omega \rightarrow 0$ and ceases to be the dominant term. It is now dominated by the leading term of the naive contribution so that

$$(74) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega+x)^n} dx \sim \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^n} dx, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the order of zero of $f(z)$ is equal to or greater than n , the leading finite part integral is a convergent integral. In fact all terms up to $\mathcal{O}(\omega^r)$ in the naive term of equation (64) are convergent integrals whose values are equal to the finite part integral (see our remark in Section-2). Clearly, the singular contributions start to appear from the first divergent term in the naive expansion, i.e. for $k = r+1$.

4.2. Example. The Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ admits the following Euler integral representation

$$(75) \quad {}_2F_1(\sigma, a; b; -z) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b-a)} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-a-1}}{(1+zx)^\sigma} dx, \quad b > a > 0.$$

This is an example of an incomplete Stieltjes transform. This representation can be brought into the form amenable to treatment by the above theorem for specific values of the parameters a, b and σ . Let $a = r, b = s, \sigma = n$ be all positive integers, with $s-r > 1$ to ensure local integrability of $f(x)$ at $x = 1$. Moreover, we let

$z = \zeta > 0$ and just simply effect an analytic continuation later for complex values when desired. Then the representation (75) assumes the form

$$(76) \quad {}_2F_1(n, r; s; -\zeta) = \frac{(s-1)!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!\zeta^n} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{r-1}(1-x)^{s-r-1}}{(\zeta^{-1}+x)^n} dx.$$

In this form, the theorem can now be applied with $f(x) = x^{r-1}(1-x)^{s-r-1}$ and $\omega = \zeta^{-1}$. The complexification of $f(x)$ is the entire, in fact polynomial, function $f(z) = z^{r-1}(1-z)^{s-r-1}$. Since the theorem provides an expansion in the neighborhood of $\omega = 0$, the resulting expansion of the hypergeometric function is an expansion about infinity or for arbitrarily large z . Moreover, this expansion is convergent so that we will have a convergent asymptotic expansion of the hypergeometric function.

When $r = 1$, $f(z)$ does not vanish at the origin; and when $r > 1$, $f(z)$ has a zero there of order $r-1$. We consider the case $n \geq r$ for which the singular contribution dominates the naive contribution. Then applying Theorem 4.1 to the integral in (76), we obtain

$$(77) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{x^{r-1}(1-x)^{s-r-1}}{(\zeta^{-1}+x)^n} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \zeta^{-k} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{r-1}(1-x)^{s-r-1}}{x^{k+n}} dx + \Delta_{sc}^{(n)}(\zeta^{-1})$$

Expanding the integrand and then using our result above for polynomials (51), the finite part integral is obtained to be

$$(78) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{x^{r-1}(1-x)^{s-r-1}}{x^{k+n}} dx = \sum_{l=0}^{s-r-1} \frac{(s-r-1)!(-1)^l}{l!(s-r-1-l)!(l+r-k-n)}.$$

On the other hand, the singular contributions are obtained by performing the differentiation and simplifying

$$(79) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{sc}^{(n)}(\zeta^{-1}) &= \frac{(-1)^{r-n} \ln \zeta}{\zeta^{s-n-1}(\zeta+1)^{r+1-s}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(\zeta+1)^{-k}}{k!(n-1-k)!(r+k-n)!(s-r-k-1)!} \\ &\quad + \frac{\zeta^{n+1-s}(-1)^{r-1}}{(\zeta+1)^{r+1-s}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(\zeta+1)^{-l}(-1)^k}{l!(k-l)!(r+l-k-1)!(s-r-l-1)!(n-1-k)} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the results (78) and (79) into (77), we thus obtain the explicit expansion of (76)

$$(80) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(n, r; s; -\zeta) &= \frac{(s-1)!}{(n-1)!(r-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k-1)!(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{a_k}{\zeta^{k+n}} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{r-n}(s-1)!\ln \zeta}{\zeta^{s-1}(\zeta+1)^{r+1-s}} \beta + \frac{(-1)^{r-1}(s-1)!}{\zeta^{s-1}(\zeta+1)^{r+1-s}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(-1)^k}{n-1-k} d_k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(81) \quad a_k = \sum_{l=0}^{s-r-1} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!(s-r-1-l)!(l+r-k-n)},$$

$$(82) \quad \beta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\zeta+1)^{-k}}{k!(n-1-k)!(r+k-n)!(s-r-k-1)!},$$

and

$$(83) \quad d_k = \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{(\zeta + 1)^{-l}}{l! (k-l)! (r+l-k-1)! (s-r-l-1)!}.$$

for $\zeta > 1$ and positive integers n, r, s such that $r+1 < s < n+1$. This is a new series representation of the Gauss hypergeometric function.

4.3. Example. The Kummer function of the second kind $U(a, b, z)$ has the integral representation

$$(84) \quad U(a, b, z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} t^{a-1} (1+t)^{b-a-1} dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} a > 0, |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

A new series representation of the Kummer function can be obtained from this representation by means of Theorem-4.1 for the specialized values of the parameter $z = \omega > 0$, $b = a + 1 - n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and integer $a = s \geq 1$. For these values of the parameters, equation (84) reduces to the form

$$(85) \quad U(s, s+1-n, \omega) = \frac{1}{(s-1)! \omega^{s-n}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x} x^{s-1}}{(\omega+x)^n} dx,$$

where a change in variable $x \rightarrow \omega x$ has been performed to obtain the integral.

We identify the integral as a generalized Stieltjes transform of integral order n for the function $f(x) = x^{s-1} e^{-x}$. The complexified function $f(z) = z^{s-1} e^{-z}$ is entire so that Theorem 4.1 applies and it yields

$$(86) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x} x^{s-1}}{(\omega+x)^n} dx = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x} x^{s-1}}{x^{k+n}} dx + \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega).$$

Now $f(z)$ does not vanish at the origin for $s = 1$ and has a zero of order $s-1$ there for all positive integer $s > 1$. Let us consider the two cases $n \geq s$ and $1 \leq n < s$. In the former, the singular contribution dominates the naive contribution; while in the latter, the singular contribution is subdominant to the naive contribution.

For $n \geq s$ all integrals in the naive term by term integration are divergent. The corresponding finite part integrals are specialized values of equation (38) and are given by

$$(87) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{k+n+1-s}} dx = \frac{(-1)^{k+n-s}}{(k+n-s)!} \psi(k+n+1-s), \quad n \geq s, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

The singular contributions are computed to be

$$(88) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) &= (-1)^{n+s+1} \ln \omega e^\omega (s-1)! \omega^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\omega^{-j}}{j! (n-1-j)! (s-j-1)!} \\ &+ (-1)^{s-1} e^\omega (s-1)! \omega^{s-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\omega^{k-j} (-1)^k}{j! (k-j)! (s-j-1)! (n-1-k)!} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting all the contributions back into the integral in (86), the Kummer function of the second kind assumes the following exact representation

$$(89) \quad U(s, s+1-n, \omega) = \frac{(-1)^{n-s} \omega^{n-s}}{(s-1)! (n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k-1)! \psi(k+n+1-s) \omega^k}{(k+n-s)! k!} \\ + (-1)^{n+s+1} \ln \omega e^{\omega} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\omega^{n-1-j}}{j! (n-1-j)! (s-j-1)!} \\ + (-1)^{s-1} e^{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\omega^{k-j} (-1)^k}{j! (k-j)! (s-j-1)! (n-1-k)!}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $s = 1, \dots, (n-1), n$ and $\omega > 0$, where an empty sum is equal to zero.

For the case $n < s$, the first $(s-n-1)$ integrals in the naive terms are convergent and the remaining integrals are all divergent. We can then split the infinite series in (86) to collect together the convergent and the divergent contributions as follows

$$(90) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x} x^{s-1}}{(\omega+x)^n} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{s-n-1} \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-n-k-1} e^{-x} dx \\ + \sum_{k=s-n}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{k+n-s+1}} dx + \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega)$$

The integrals appearing in the first sum of the right hand side of the equation above are convergent integrals. They are evaluated as regular (Riemann) integrals,

$$(91) \quad \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-n-k-1} e^{-x} dx = \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-n-k-1} e^{-x} dx = (s-n-k-1)!$$

The rest of the terms in the right hand side of equation (90) are evaluated as finite part integrals in the same manner as in the previous case so that we obtain the following exact expansion of the Kummer function of the second kind

$$(92) \quad U(s, s+1-n, \omega) = \frac{\omega^{n-s}}{(s-1)! (n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{s-n-1} \frac{(n+k-1)! (s-n-k-1)!}{k!} (-\omega)^k \\ + \frac{(-1)^{n-s} \omega^{n-s}}{(s-1)! (n-1)!} \sum_{k=s-n}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k-1)! \psi(k+n+1-s) \omega^k}{(k+n-s)! k!} \\ + (-1)^{n+s+1} \ln \omega e^{\omega} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\omega^{n-1-j}}{j! (n-1-j)! (s-j-1)!} \\ + (-1)^{s-1} e^{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\omega^{k-j} (-1)^k}{j! (k-j)! (s-j-1)! (n-1-k)!}.$$

for all $s = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, $n = 1, \dots, (s-1)$ and $\omega > 0$, where an empty sum is equal to zero.

5. GENERALIZED STIELTJES TRANSFORM OF INTEGRAL ORDERS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS WITH BRANCH POINT AT THE ORIGIN

This time let us consider the finite part integration of the incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform of integral order n of the function $h(x) = x^{-\nu} f(x)$, where $f(x)$ has an entire complex extension $f(z)$ and $0 < \nu < 1$,

$$(93) \quad S_n^a[h] = \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} f(x)}{(\omega + x)^n} dx$$

To perform finite-part integration, we represent the right hand side of equation (93), as a contour integral using Lemma-2.2, with the contour enclosing the pole $z = -\omega$.

$$(94) \quad \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} f(x)}{(\omega + x)^n} dx = \frac{1}{e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{z^\nu (\omega + z)^n} dz - \frac{2\pi i}{e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1} \text{Res} \left[\frac{z^{-\nu} f(z)}{(\omega + z)^n} \right]_{z=-\omega}$$

We then proceed in the same manner as in proving Theorem-2.1. The result is given by the following Theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let the complex extension, $f(z)$, of $f(x)$ be entire. Then for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $0 < \omega < a$, and $0 < \nu < 1$, the following equality holds*

$$(95) \quad \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} f(x)}{(\omega + x)^n} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{n+k+\nu}} dx + \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega)$$

where

$$(96) \quad \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\nu) \omega^\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(n-1-k)}(-\omega)}{k! (n-1-k)!} \frac{(\nu)_k}{\omega^k}.$$

5.1. Behavior for small parameters. We now obtain the explicit dependence of the nature of the dominant contribution to the value of $S_n^a[f]$ on the order of the zero of f at the origin. For f with a zero of order $m = 0, 1, \dots$, we write $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j z^{j+m}$, with $d_0 \neq 0$. The singular contribution (96) assumes the form

$$(97) \quad \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\nu) \omega^\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k d_j (j+m)! (\nu)_k (-\omega)^{j+m-n+1}}{k! (n-1-k)! (j+m-n+k+1)!}.$$

For $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, the singular term provides the dominant contribution as $\omega \rightarrow 0$. In particular, when $m = n-1$, the leading term of (97), that is for $j = 0$, becomes

$$(98) \quad \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} f(x)}{(\omega + x)^n} dx \sim \frac{\pi d_0 (n-1)!}{\sin(\pi\nu) \omega^\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (\nu)_k}{(k!)^2 (n-1-k)!}, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0$$

On the other hand, when $m = n+r$, for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the singular contribution merely provides a leading-order correction term to the dominant contribution coming from the naive term. In particular, when $r = 0$, that is $m = n$, the leading

term of the singular contribution (97) assumes the form

$$(99) \quad \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) \sim -\frac{\pi d_0 n! \omega^{1-\nu}}{\sin(\pi \nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (\nu)_k}{k! (n-1-k)! (k+1)!}, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0$$

In this case, the leading singular contribution is of the order $\mathcal{O}(\omega^{1-\nu})$ which is dominated by the leading naive term. Then the Stieltjes transform $S_n^a[f]$ has the leading behavior

$$(100) \quad \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} f(x)}{(\omega+x)^n} dx \sim \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} f(x)}{x^n} dx, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0.$$

As in the previous case, this leading contribution is a convergent integral and correction terms coming from the singular contribution appear from the first divergent term arising from term by term integration.

5.2. Example. Let us consider again the Gauss hypergeometric function for the following set of parameters

$$(101) \quad {}_2F_1(n, 1-\mu; s-\mu+2; -z) = \frac{\Gamma(s-\mu+2)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(s+1)z^n} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{-\mu}(1-x)^s}{(z^{-1}+x)^n} dx$$

for $n, s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $0 < \mu < 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $z = \zeta > 0$ so that $\omega = \zeta^{-1} > 0$. Applying Theorem-5.1 on the integral, we obtain

$$(102) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{(1-x)^s}{x^\mu (\zeta^{-1}+x)^n} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \frac{1}{\zeta^k} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-x)^s}{x^{n+k+\mu}} dx + \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\zeta^{-1}), \quad \zeta > 1,$$

where the finite part integrals, obtained using equation (53), are given by

$$(103) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{(1-x)^s}{x^{n+k+\mu}} dx = \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{(-1)^l s!}{l! (s-l)! (l-n-k-\mu+1)!},$$

and the singular contributions are given by

$$(104) \quad \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\zeta^{-1}) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\pi s!}{\sin(\pi \mu)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (1+\zeta^{-1})^{s-n+k+1}}{k! (s-n+k+1)! (n-k-1)!} \zeta^{k+\mu},$$

Notice that the singular contributions dominate the terms coming from the finite part integrals for $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$.

Substituting all contributions back into the integral (102) and then to equation (101), the Gauss hypergeometric function assumes the representation

$$(105) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(n, 1-\mu; s-\mu+2; -\zeta) &= \frac{\Gamma(s-\mu+2)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)(n-1)! z^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (n+k-1)!}{k!} \frac{b_k}{\zeta^k} \\ &+ (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\pi \Gamma(s-\mu+2)}{\sin(\pi \mu) \Gamma(1-\mu) \zeta^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k (\mu)_k (1+\zeta^{-1})^{s-n+k+1}}{k! (s-n+k+1)! (n-k-1)!} \zeta^{k+\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(106) \quad b_k = \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{(-1)^l}{l! (s-l)! (l-n-k-\mu+1)!}$$

for all $\zeta > 1$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $0 < \mu < 1$.

5.3. Example. We again obtain an exact series expansion of the Kummer function of the second kind for the following specific parameters $z = \omega > 0$, $0 < a < 1$, $b = a - n + 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ by way of Theorem-5.1. Thus, we rewrite the integral (84) by making the substitution $x = \omega t$ so that the function assumes the form of a Stieltjes transform

$$(107) \quad U(a, a - n + 1, \omega) = \frac{\omega^{n-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{1-a} (\omega + x)^n} dx \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Applying Theorem-5.1 to the integral above, we have

$$(108) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{1-a} (\omega + x)^n} dx = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{-n}{k} \omega^k \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{n+k+1-a}} dx + \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega).$$

The finite part integral in the RHS of the equation above is given by equation (47), in particular,

$$(109) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{n+k+1-a}} dx = \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \pi}{\Gamma(n+k+1-a) \sin(\pi a)}$$

On the other hand, the singular contributions are computed to be

$$(110) \quad \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n)}(\omega) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \pi e^\omega \omega^{a-1}}{\sin(\pi a) \Gamma(1-a)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(k+1-a)}{k! (n-1-k)! (-\omega)^k}$$

Substituting these results to (107) and simplifying, we obtain a series representation for the Kummer function of the second kind

$$(111) \quad \begin{aligned} U(a, a - n + 1, \omega) &= \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(1-a) \omega^{n-a}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(n+k-1)!}{\Gamma(n+k+1-a)} \frac{\omega^k}{k!} \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} e^\omega \omega^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(k+1-a)}{k! (n-1-k)! (-\omega)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < a < 1$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\omega > 0$.

6. FINITE PART INTEGRAL FOR AN END-POINT NON-INTEGRABLE SINGULARITY

In the previous sections, we have dealt with the cases where the singularities involve poles only. In the following section, we will consider cases where we both have a pole and a branch point at $z = -\omega$. Consideration of these cases will lead to divergent integrals whose divergence arises from a non-integrable singularity at the end-point. We consider an example of such integrals in the present section. The relevant integral in question is

$$(112) \quad \int_0^c \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx,$$

which is divergent for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with the divergence arising from the non-integrable singularity at the end point $x = c$. One may wonder whether it is possible to transform the singularity to the origin by a mere change of variables and directly apply our results for the case when the singularity is at the origin. But we have seen in our examples above that a change in variable by substitution does not necessarily work for the finite part integral.

This means that we should start from the definition of the finite part in obtaining the finite part of the divergent integral (112). Thus, we modify the divergent

integral (112) by temporarily excluding the offending non-integrable singularity at $x = c$. This is accomplished by modifying the integral as follows

$$(113) \quad \int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx,$$

for some positive $\epsilon < a$. We now assume that $f(x)$ is at least n times continuously differentiable in the interval $[0, c]$ so that the following expansion holds

$$(114) \quad g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(j)}(c)}{j!} (x-c)^j + R_{n,c}(x)$$

where the remainder term has the bound

$$(115) \quad |R_{n,c}(x)| \leq M \frac{|x-c|^n}{n!}$$

for some positive constant M . Substituting the expansion (115) back into the integral (113) and integrating term by term yield

$$(116) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j g^{(j)}(c)}{j! (n+\alpha-j-1)} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1}} - \frac{1}{c^{n+\alpha-j-1}} \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{R_{n,c}(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \end{aligned}$$

We now collect the converging terms, C_ϵ , and diverging terms, D_ϵ of the right hand side of equation (116) as ϵ becomes arbitrarily small. As it stands, it is not immediately discernible whether the integral term is convergent or divergent in the limit. But we can readily establish the following bound on the integral,

$$(117) \quad \left| \int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{R_{n,c}(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \right| \leq \int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{|R_{n,c}(x)|}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \leq M \frac{c^{1-\epsilon} - \epsilon^{1-\epsilon}}{1-\alpha}.$$

The bound has a finite limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ so that the integral in the right hand side has a finite limit as ϵ vanishes; thus, the integral belongs to C_ϵ . We then identify the converging and diverging terms as

$$(118) \quad C_\epsilon = - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j g^{(j)}(c)}{j! (n+\alpha-j-1)} \frac{1}{c^{n+\alpha-j-1}} + \int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{R_{n,c}(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx$$

$$(119) \quad D_\epsilon = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j g^{(j)}(c)}{j! (n+\alpha-j-1)} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1}}$$

From the diverging and converging parts we obtain two representations of the finite part of the divergent integral. From the divergent part, D_ϵ , we obtain the following limit representation

$$(120) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{FPI} \int_0^c \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx - D_\epsilon \right] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j g^{(j)}(c)}{j! (n+\alpha-j-1)} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the convergent part we obtain the explicit representation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{FPI} \int_0^c \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} C_\epsilon \\
 (121) \qquad &= - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j g^{(j)}(c)}{j! (n+\alpha-j-1)} \frac{1}{a^{n+\alpha-j-1}} + \int_0^c \frac{R_{n,c}(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

If the complexification function, $g(z)$, of $g(x)$ is entire, $g(x)$ admits a Taylor series expansion about any point in the real line with an infinite radius of convergence. In particular at about $x = c$, we have the expansion

$$(122) \qquad g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{g^{(j)}(c)}{j!} (c-x)^j,$$

from which we obtain the remainder term,

$$(123) \qquad R_{n,c}(x) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{g^{(j)}(c)}{j!} (c-x)^j$$

Substituting this back into equation (121) and integrating term by term, which we can do by virtue of the uniform convergence of the infinite series in the range of integration, yield the following explicit series representation of the finite part integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^c \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j g^{(j)}(c)}{j! (n+\alpha-j-1)} \frac{1}{c^{n+\alpha-j-1}} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(j)}(c) (-1)^j c^{j-n-\alpha+1}}{j! (j-n-\alpha+1)} \\
 (124) \qquad &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(j)}(c) (-1)^j c^{j-n-\alpha+1}}{j! (j-n-\alpha+1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have combined the two terms in the first line into the single sum in the second line. The first line is useful in identifying the dominant terms for small c , which we will consider below; on the other hand, the second line is useful for simplification purposes.

A complex contour integral representation can also be obtained for the finite part integral (124). We note though that equation (120) assumes that $g(x)$ is only n -times continuously differentiable. This implies that the complexification of $g(x)$, $g(z)$, is not necessarily analytic in the interval $[0, c]$. We now assume that $g(z)$ is analytic in the interval $[0, c]$ and consider the function $g(z)(c-z)^{-n-\alpha}$. This has both a pole of order n and a branch point at $z = c$. We take the branch cut to be the line $(-\infty, c]$. Let C be the contour starting at $z = 0$ and goes around the branch point $z = c$ as depicted in Figure-3.

We now consider the contour integral $\int_C g(z)(c-z)^{-n-\alpha} dz$. We deform the contour C into the contour C' as depicted in the Figure-3. Because $g(z)$ is entire,




FIGURE 3. The contour of integration.

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_C \frac{g(z)}{(c-z)^{n+\alpha}} dz &= \int_{C'} \frac{g(z)}{(c-z)^{n+\alpha}} dz \\
 &= \int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx + \int_\epsilon \frac{g(z)}{(c-z)^{n+\alpha}} dz \\
 &\quad + \int_{c-\epsilon}^0 \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha} e^{2\pi i(n+\alpha)}} dx \\
 &= (1 - e^{2\pi i\alpha}) \int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx + \int_\epsilon \frac{g(z)}{(c-z)^{n+\alpha}} dz
 \end{aligned}$$

We evaluate the integral at the circular loop C_ϵ with the parametrization $z = a + \epsilon e^{i\theta}$, with $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$. Along this contour we make the expansion

$$(125) \quad g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(j)}(c)}{j!} (z-c)^j + R_n(z)$$

Substituting this expansion back into the integral around the circle and evaluating the integral in the sum, we obtain

$$(126) \quad \int_\epsilon \frac{g(z)}{(c-z)^{n+\alpha}} dz = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j g^{(j)}(c) (e^{-2\pi i\alpha} - 1)}{j! \epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1} (n+\alpha-j-1)} + i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{R_n(z) e^{-i\theta(n+\alpha-1)}}{(-1)^{n+\alpha} \epsilon^{n+\alpha-1}} d\theta$$

A bound for the second term can be obtained

$$\left| i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{R_n(z) e^{-i\theta(n+\alpha-1)}}{(-1)^{n+\alpha} \epsilon^{n+\alpha-1}} d\theta \right| \leq \epsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|R_n(z)|}{\epsilon^n} d\theta \leq 2\pi K \epsilon^{1-\alpha}$$

upon using the bound of the remainder term $|R(z)| \leq K|z|^m$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C \frac{g(z)}{(c-z)^{n+\alpha}} dz &= (1 - e^{-2\pi i \alpha}) \left[\int_0^{c-\epsilon} \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j g^{(j)}(c)}{j! \epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1} (n+\alpha-j-1)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1-\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the representation in equation (120), we see that in the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ the order term vanishes and the quantity in brackets approaches the finite part of the divergent integral (112). This leads to the complex contour integral representation of the finite part integral (124). Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let the complex extension, $f(z)$, of $f(x)$ be analytic in the interval $[0, c]$. Then*

$$(127) \quad \oint_0^c \frac{g(x)}{(c-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx = \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2\pi i \alpha})} \int_C \frac{g(z)}{(c-z)^{n+\alpha}} dz$$

where the branch cut of $(c-z)^{-m-\alpha}$ is the line $(-\infty, c]$, and the contour C is the path straddling the branch cut beginning and ending at the origin, as depicted in Figure-3.

7. GENERALIZED STIELTJES TRANSFORM OF NON-INTEGRAL ORDER OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

Now let us consider the case where $z = -\omega$ is a branch point, in particular, the generalized Stieltjes transform

$$(128) \quad S_{n+\alpha}^a[f] = \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega+x)^{n+\alpha}} dx, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We assume again that the complexification $f(z)$ of $f(x)$ is entire. For $\omega > 0$, we take the branch cut of $(\omega+z)^{-\alpha}$ to be the line $[-\omega, \infty)$. The key in the finite-part integration of equation (128) is in representing the integral as a contour integral. Let us consider the contour integral

$$(129) \quad \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(\omega+z)^{n+\alpha}} dz,$$

where C is the contour shown in Figure-4. We now deform the contour C' as depicted in Figure-4. Since $f(z)$ is entire and the deformation of the contour does not pass through any singularity, the integrals along C and C' are equal. Along C' ,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{C'} \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz &= \int_a^{-\omega+\epsilon} \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx + \int_\epsilon \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz \\
&+ \int_{-\omega+\epsilon}^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha} e^{2\pi i(n+\alpha)}} dx \\
&= \int_a^{-\omega+\epsilon} \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx + \int_\epsilon \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz \\
&+ e^{-2\pi i\alpha} \int_{-\omega+\epsilon}^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \\
(130) \quad &= (e^{-2\pi i\alpha} - 1) \int_{-\omega+\epsilon}^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx + \int_\epsilon \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the integral along the small circular contour. Along this contour, we have the parametrization $z = -\omega + \epsilon e^{i\theta}$ for $0 < \theta < 2\pi$. Since $f(z)$ is entire, we can expand it about any point, in particular, at $z = -\omega$,

$$(131) \quad f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(-\omega)}{j!} (z + \omega)^j + R_n(z)$$

where $R_n(z) = O((z + \omega)^n) = O(\epsilon^n e^{in\theta})$. Substituting this expansion back into the integral around the small circular contour, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\epsilon \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{(\epsilon e^{i\theta})^{n+\alpha}} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(-\omega)}{j!} (\epsilon e^{i\theta})^j + R_n(z) \right] \epsilon e^{i\theta} i d\theta \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{i f^{(j)}(-\omega)}{j! \epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\theta(n+\alpha-j-1)} d\theta \\
(132) \quad &+ i \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{R_n(z) e^{-i\theta(n+\alpha-1)}}{\epsilon^{n+\alpha-1}} d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

The integral in the first term can be evaluated as

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\theta(n+\alpha-j-1)} d\theta = \frac{e^{-2\pi i(n+\alpha-j-1)} - 1}{-i(n+\alpha-j-1)}$$

A bound for the second term can be obtained as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| i \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{R_n(z) e^{-i\theta(n+\alpha-1)}}{\epsilon^{n+\alpha-1}} d\theta \right| &\leq \epsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{R_n(z) e^{-i\theta(n+\alpha-1)}}{\epsilon^n} \right| d\theta \\
&\leq \epsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{K(\epsilon e^{i\theta})^n e^{-i\theta(n+\alpha-1)}}{\epsilon^n} \right| d\theta \\
&= 2\pi K \epsilon^{1-\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where K is some positive constant. Thus the integral on the circular loop centered at $z = -\omega$ can be written as

$$\int_\epsilon \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{i f^{(j)}(-\omega) (e^{-2\pi i\alpha} - 1)}{-i j! (n + \alpha - j - 1) \epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1-\alpha})$$

The integral (130) along the deformed contour C' may now be written as.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C'} \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz &= (e^{-2\pi i \alpha} - 1) \left[\int_{-\omega+\epsilon}^0 \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx + \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(-\omega)(e^{-2\pi i \alpha} - 1)}{j!(n + \alpha - j - 1)(\epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1})} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1-\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the first term above with the replacement $x \rightarrow -x$. Multiplying through by $(e^{-2\pi i \alpha} - 1)^{-1}$ and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \frac{1}{e^{-2\pi i \alpha} - 1} \int_{C'} \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz \\ (133) \quad &- \left[\int_0^{\omega-\epsilon} \frac{f(-x)}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(-\omega)}{j!(n + \alpha - j - 1)(\epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1})} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1-\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

The first term is an integral along the contour C' , which depends on ϵ . However, the contour C' can be replaced with the contour C because the two integrals are equal. The first term is then independent of ϵ . Now the left hand side is independent of ϵ so that the limit of the right hand side exists as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then we obtain the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \frac{1}{e^{-2\pi i \alpha} - 1} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz \\ (134) \quad &- \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_0^{\omega-\epsilon} \frac{f(-x)}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(-\omega)}{j!(n + \alpha - j - 1)(\epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

From equation (120), we recognize that the limit term is just the finite part of the divergent integral

$$(135) \quad \int_0^{\omega} \frac{f(-x)}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx$$

That is

$$\int_0^{\omega} \frac{f(-x)}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_0^{\omega-\epsilon} \frac{f(-x)}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(-\omega)}{j!(n + \alpha - j - 1)(\epsilon^{n+\alpha-j-1})} \right]$$

Finally, we obtain a representation of the given integral (128) in terms of a complex contour integral and a finite part integral,

$$(136) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx = \frac{1}{e^{-2\pi i \alpha} - 1} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} dz - \int_0^{\omega} \frac{f(-x)}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx.$$

The second term in the right hand side of equation (136) is represented as a complex contour integral in equation (127). Hence, the right hand side of equation (136) is a complex contour integral representation of the given convergent integral in the left hand side.

We are now ready to implement a term by term integration with the use of the following binomial expansion

$$(137) \quad \frac{1}{(\omega + z)^{n+\alpha}} = \frac{1}{z^{n+\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-\alpha}{j} \left(\frac{\omega}{z}\right)^j$$




FIGURE 4. The contour of integration.

This expansion converges provided $\omega < |z|$. We can substitute this back in the integral as long as we choose the contour such that $\omega < |z|$ for all z in the contour C . Under this condition, the infinite series converges uniformly along the contour of integration, allowing us to perform a term by term integration, yielding the finite part integral representation of the given Stieltjes transform,

$$\int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-\alpha}{j} \omega^j \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{n+\alpha+j}} dx - \int_0^{\omega} \frac{f(-x)}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx$$

The explicit condition through which the infinite series converges absolutely is obtained by deforming the contour of integration C in Figure-4 into a circular path with radius a . We then proceed in the same manner that we have done earlier to establish absolute convergence of the series for $\omega < a$. Thus we have proved the following result.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $f(x)$ be locally integrable in the interval $[0, a]$ with an entire complex extension $f(z)$. Then*

$$(138) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega + x)^{n+\alpha}} dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-\alpha}{j} \omega^j \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{n+\alpha+j}} dx + \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n+\alpha)}(\omega),$$

where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, provided $\omega < a$, in which the singular contribution is the finite part integral

$$(139) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n+\alpha)}(\omega) &= - \int_0^{\omega} \frac{f(-x)}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(-\omega)}{j!(n+\alpha-j-1)} \frac{1}{\omega^{n+\alpha-j-1}} - \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(j)}(-\omega)}{j!} \frac{\omega^{j-n-\alpha+1}}{(j-n-\alpha+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

7.1. Behavior for small parameters. We again obtain explicitly the dominant contribution to the value of $S_{n+\alpha}^a[f]$ in terms of the order of the zero of f at the origin. For a function with a zero of order $m = n - s$, for $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we write $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^{k+n-s}$. The singular term (139) provides the dominant

contribution of the order $\mathcal{O}(\omega^{-s-\alpha+1})$ given by

$$(140) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n+\alpha)}(\omega) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_k (k+n-s)! (-1)^{k+n-s-j} \omega^{k-s-\alpha+1}}{j! (k+n-s-j)! (n+\alpha-j-1)} \\ &- \sum_{j=n-s+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j-n+s}^{\infty} \frac{d_k (k+n-s)! (-1)^{k+n-s-j} \omega^{k-s-\alpha+1}}{j! (k+n-s-j)! (j-n-\alpha+1)} \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$(141) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega+x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \sim \frac{d_0 (n-s)!}{\omega^{s+\alpha-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-s} \frac{(-1)^{n-s-j}}{j! (n-s-j)! (n+\alpha-j-1)}, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0$$

For f with a zero at the origin of order $m = n+r$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we write $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^{k+n+r}$. We find that the naive term provides the dominant contribution to the value of $S_{n+\alpha}^a[f]$ while the singular term (139) merely gives a leading order correction given by

$$(142) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{sc}}^{(n+\alpha)}(\omega) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_k (k+n+r)! (-1)^{k+n+r-j} \omega^{k+r-\alpha+1}}{j! (k+n+r-j)! (n+\alpha-j-1)} \\ &- \sum_{j=n+r+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j-n-r}^{\infty} \frac{d_k (k+n+r)! (-1)^{k+n+r-j} \omega^{k+r-\alpha+1}}{j! (k+n+r-j)! (j-n-\alpha+1)} \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the leading term corresponding to $j = 0$ in the naive term provides the dominant contribution

$$(143) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{(\omega+x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \sim \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{n+\alpha}} dx, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0$$

Since the order of the zero of f at the origin is greater than or equal to n , the leading finite part integral above is a convergent integral. In fact, the same can be said for the finite part integrals corresponding to $0 \leq j \leq r$ in the naive term of (138). The singular contributions begin to provide significant correction at $j = r+1$.

7.2. Example. We apply the Theorem to the following specialized values of the Gauss hypergeometric function

$$(144) \quad {}_2F_1(n+\alpha, r; s; -z) = \frac{(s-1)!}{(r-1)! (s-r-1)! z^{n+\alpha}} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{r-1} (1-x)^{s-r-1}}{(z^{-1}+x)^{n+\alpha}} dx$$

for positive integers s, r, n and $0 < \alpha < 1$ with $s \geq r+1$ and $z = \zeta > 1$. From Theorem-7.1, the integral is evaluated as

$$(145) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{r-1} (1-x)^{s-r-1}}{(\zeta^{-1}+x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-\alpha}{j} \frac{1}{\zeta^j} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{r-1} (1-x)^{s-r-1}}{x^{n+\alpha+j}} dx \\ &- \int_0^{\zeta^{-1}} \frac{(-x)^{r-1} (1+x)^{s-r-1}}{(\zeta^{-1}-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx \end{aligned}$$

The finite part in the first term of the right hand side of (145) is given in (132).

$$(146) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{x^{r-1} (1-x)^{s-r-1}}{x^{n+\alpha+j}} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{s-r-1} \frac{(s-r-1)! (-1)^k}{k! (s-r-1-k)! (k-n-\alpha-j+r)}$$

We obtain the finite part in the second term using (124) after making the identification $g(x) = (-x)^{r-1} (1+x)^{s-r-1}$. Hence, we have the following Taylor expansion

$$(147) \quad (-x)^{r-1} (1+x)^{s-r-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{s-2} \frac{M_k(\zeta^{-1})}{k!} (x - \zeta^{-1})^k$$

where

$$(148) \quad M_k(\zeta^{-1}) = (-1)^{r-1} (s-r-1)! \sum_{j=k-r+1}^{s-r-1} \frac{(j+r-1)! (\zeta^{-1})^{j+r-k-1}}{j! (s-r-j-1)! (j+r-k-1)!}$$

Hence, the finite part integral in the second term is given by

$$(149) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{\zeta^{-1}} \frac{(-x)^{r-1} (1+x)^{s-r-1}}{(\zeta^{-1}-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-2} \frac{M_k(\zeta^{-1})}{k!} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1-n-\alpha)} (\zeta^{-1})^{k+1-n-\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-2} \sum_{j=k-r+1}^{s-r-1} \frac{(-1)^{k+r-1} (s-r-1)! (j+r-1)! (\zeta^{-1})^{j+r-n-\alpha}}{j! k! (s-r-j-1)! (j+r-k-1)! (k+1-n-\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the results (146) and (149) into (145) and substituting the resulting expression for the integral in (144), we obtain the following expansion of the Gauss hypergeometric function

$$(150) \quad {}_2F_1(n+\alpha, r; s; -\zeta) = \frac{(s-1)! \Gamma(1-n-\alpha)}{(r-1)! \zeta^{n+\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_j}{\Gamma(1-n-\alpha-j)} \frac{\zeta^{-j}}{j!} - \frac{(s-1)!}{(r-1)! (s-r-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{s-2} \frac{(-1)^k M_k(\zeta^{-1})}{(k+1-n-\alpha)} \frac{\zeta^{-k-1}}{k!}$$

where

$$(151) \quad b_j = \sum_{k=0}^{s-r-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! (s-r-1-k)! (k-n-\alpha-j+r)}.$$

for all $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\zeta > 1$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $s = (r+1), (r+2), (r+3), \dots$

7.3. Example. We again obtain a series representation of the Kummer function of the second kind for the specialized values $z = \omega > 0$, $a = n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $b = 1 - \alpha$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$. We cast the integral representation to assume a form of a Stieltjes transform by changing the variable to $x = \omega t$. This leads to the specialized integral representation

$$(152) \quad U(n, 1-\alpha, \omega) = \frac{\omega^\alpha}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x} x^{n-1}}{(\omega+x)^{n+\alpha}} dx.$$

The integral is now in a form amenable to application of Theorem-7.1. We identify $f(x) = e^{-x} x^{n-1}$. Then by the theorem, the integral assumes the expansion

$$(153) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x} x^{n-1}}{(\omega+x)^{n+\alpha}} dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-\alpha}{j} \omega^j \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{j+\alpha+1}} dx - \int_0^\omega \frac{e^x (-x)^{n-1}}{(\omega-x)^{n+\alpha}} dx.$$

The finite part integrals in the first term of the right hand side of equation (153) is a specialized value of the finite part integral given by equation (47). The value is given by

$$(154) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{j+\alpha+1}} dx = \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \pi}{\sin(\pi \alpha) \Gamma(j + \alpha + 1)}.$$

We compute the finite part integral in the second term using (124). With the identification that $g(x) = (-x)^{n-1} e^x$, we obtain the required expansion

$$(155) \quad e^x (-x)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_k(\omega)}{k!} (x - \omega)^k$$

where

$$(156) \quad M_k(\omega) = e^\omega (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{k!}{l! (k-l)!} \frac{(n-1)! \omega^{n-1-l}}{(n-l-1)!}$$

Then the finite part is given by

$$(157) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\omega \frac{e^x (-x)^{n-1}}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k M_k(\omega)}{k! (k - \alpha - n + 1)} \omega^{k+1-n-\alpha} \\ &= e^\omega (-1)^{n-1} \omega^{-\alpha} (n-1)! \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{(-1)^k \omega^{k-l}}{(k - \alpha - n + 1) l! (k-l)! (n-l-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

where we arrived at the second line by substituting the coefficient (156) in the first line. We simplify the double sum by applying the identity

$$(158) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k a_{k,l} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} a_{k,l}$$

followed by the substitution $k - l = r$ and by an interchange of the order of summation. The result is

$$(159) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\omega \frac{e^x (-x)^{n-1}}{(\omega - x)^{n+\alpha}} dx &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1} e^\omega (n-1)!}{\omega^\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r+l} \omega^r}{(r + l - \alpha - n + 1) l! r! (n-l-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

Finally the inner summation can be evaluated as

$$(160) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(r + l - \alpha - n + 1) l! (n-l-1)!} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \alpha - n + r)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha + r)}.$$

Collecting the results above, an exact expansion of Kummer function function of the second kind- for the specified parameter values is given by

$$(161) \quad \begin{aligned} U(n, 1 - \alpha, \omega) &= -\frac{\pi \omega^\alpha \Gamma(1 - n - \alpha)}{\sin(\pi \alpha) (n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{\Gamma(1 - n - \alpha - j) \Gamma(j + \alpha + 1)} \frac{\omega^j}{j!} \\ &\quad + (-1)^n e^\omega \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \Gamma(1 - \alpha - n + r)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha + r)} \frac{\omega^r}{r!} \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \alpha < 1$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\omega > 0$.

8. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have evaluated the incomplete generalized Stieltjes transform by finite part integration in a form that allows us to extract the asymptotic behavior of the transform for small values of the parameter. We have seen once more that an attempt to evaluate the Stieltjes integral by expanding the integrand and performing term by term integration lead to missing terms. By finite-part integration, we were able to recover the missing terms which are contributions coming from the poles and the branch points of the integrand in the complex plane. When the function under transformation does not vanish or its order of zero at the origin does not sufficiently exceed the order of the Stieltjes transformation, the missing terms are the dominant terms for arbitrarily small values of the parameter of the transformation. Our results altogether demonstrates the efficacy of finite part integration as an invaluable tool in extracting the dominant contribution of any function that can be represented as a Stieltjes transform in the relevant asymptotic regime, aside from the fact that, in the process, finite part integration leads to new finite part and series representations of such functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. V. Widder, "The Stieltjes transform" Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43, 7-60 (1938).
- [2] R.K. Saxena, "A study of the generalized Stieltjes transform" 25, 340-355 (1959).
- [3] J.M.C. Joshi, "On a generalized Stieltjes transform" Pac. J. Math. 14, 969-975 (1964).
- [4] O. Ycjrecli, "A theorem on the generalized Stieltjes transform and its application" J. Math. Anal. & Appl. 168, 63-71 (1992).
- [5] J.H. Schwarz, "The generalized Stieltjes transform and its inverse" J. Math. Phys. 46, 013501 (2005).
- [6] D. Karp and E. Prilepkina, "Generalized Stieltjes functions and their exact order" J. Class. Anal. 1, 53-74 (2012).
- [7] R.S. Pathak, L. Debnath, "Recent developments on Stieltjes transform of generalized functions", Internat. J. Math & Math. Sci. 10, 641-670 (1987).
- [8] B. K. Tekale and M.S. Chaudary, "On Stieltjes Transform of Banach-Space-Valued Distributions" J.Math. Anal. Appl. 139, 187-193 (1989).
- [9] N. Hayek, B.J. Gonzalez, E.R. Negrin, "A variant of the Stieltjes transform on distributions of compact support" Appl. Math. and Comp. 187, 2002-206 (2007).
- [10] J.C.M. Joshi, "Recent studies in integral transforms" J. Nat. & Phys. Sci. 11, 65-78 (1997).
- [11] J.L. Lopez and C. Ferreira, "Asymptotic Expansions of Generalized Stieltjes Transforms of Algebraically Decaying Functions" Stud. Appl. Math. 108, 187-215 (2002).
- [12] N. Hayek, B.J. Gonzalez, E.R. Negrin, "A variant of the Stieltjes transform on distributions of compact support," Appl. Math. and Comp. 187, 202-206 (2007) .
- [13] P.J. Miana, "Stieltjes transforms defined by C_0 -semigroups," J. Comp. and Appl. Math. 234, 1172-1178 (2010).
- [14] M.E. Ismail, D.H. Kelkeri, "Special functions, Stieltjes transforms and infinite divisibility," SIAM J. Math. Anal. 10, 884-901 (1979).
- [15] D. Karp and E. Prilepkina, "Hypergeometric functions as generalized Stieltjes transforms" J. Math. Anal. Appl. 393, 348-359 (2012).
- [16] R. Wong *Asymptotic Approximations of Integrals* SIAM (2001).
- [17] R. Wong, "Distribution derivation of an asymptotic expansion," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980) 266.
- [18] J.P. McClure, R. Wong, "Explicit error terms for asymptotic expansions of Stieltjes transforms," *J. Inst. Maths Applies* **3**(1)(1978) 129.
- [19] R. Wong, "Explicit error terms for asymptotic expansions of Mellin convolutions," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 72, 740-756 (1979).

- [20] J.L. Lopez, "Asymptotic expansions of symmetric standard elliptic integrals," SIAM J. Math. Anal. **31**, 754-775 (2000).
- [21] E.A. Galapon, "The problem of missing in term by term integration involving divergent integrals" *Proc. Lond. Roy. Soc. A* **473**, 20160567 (2017).
- [22] J. Hadamard, "Lectures on Cauchy's problem in linear partial differential equations" (1952).
- [23] C. Fox *A generalization of the Cauchy principal value* Canad. J. Math. **9** 110-117 (1957).
- [24] G. Monegato, "Definitions, properties and applications of finite-part integrals," Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **229**, 425-439 (2009).
- [25] E.A. Galapon, "The Cauchy principal value and the Hadamard finite part integral as values of absolutely convergent integrals," *J. Math. Phys.* **57**, 033502 (2016).
- [26] <http://functions.wolfram.com/HypergeometricFunctions/Hypergeometric2F2/06/02/02/0003/>
- [27] <http://functions.wolfram.com/HypergeometricFunctions/Hypergeometric2F2/06/02/03/0002/>

THEORETICAL PHYSICS GROUP, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES, DILIMAN QUEZON CITY, 1101 PHILIPPINES
E-mail address: `eagalapon@up.edu.ph`